UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,699	10/30/2006	David Mark Whiley	00633-8004.US00	2939
90615 7590 07/20/2010 Fisher Adams Kelly			EXAMINER	
Perkins Coie LI	LP. 607 Fourteenth Stre	ZEMAN, ROBERT A		
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jisacson@perkinscoie.com dmayhew@perkinscoie.com patentprocurement@perkinscoie.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/599,699	WHILEY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT A. ZEMAN	1645
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 24-47 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 36-47 is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10-5-2006 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Explanation is objected to by the Explanation is objected.	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	es have been received. Es have been received in Application of the second control of the	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Profragrant's Potent Proving Review (PTO 048)	4)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-5-2006 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 5-6-2010 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Examiner has no demonstrated the requisite "serious burden" needed to justify a restriction requirement. This is not found persuasive because the searches of the various groups would not be coextensive in scope and hence constitute a serious burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 24-47 are pending. Claims 36-47 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Claims 24-35 are currently under examination.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Australia on 4-8-2004. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 2004901890 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b). Consequently, the filing date of PCT/AU05/00500 (4-6-2005) will be used for the determination of the availability of art.

Sequence Compliance

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 C.F.R. \ni 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. \ni 1.821-1.825 for

Art Unit: 1645

Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence and/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures. Any questions regarding compliance with the sequence rules requirements specifically should be directed to the departments listed at the bottom of the Notice to Comply. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with their response. Applicant is given the same period in which to comply with the sequence rules as is available to reply to this action. Specifically, page 27 contains sequences without the requisite sequence identifiers.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 10-5-2006 has been considered. An initialed copy is attached hereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 24-29 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1645

Applicant is directed to the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001.

The specification discloses SEQ ID NO:1 and 2 that correspond to PCR primers specific for the porA gene of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* but no other Neisserial species. SEQ ID NO:1 and 2 meet the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. However, the aforementioned claims are drawn to any and all PCR primers that hybridize to the porA gene of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* but not *Neisseria meningitidis* (claims 25, 27-35) or any other Neisserial species (claim 26). None of these sequences meet the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. The specification provides insufficient written description to support the genus encompassed by the claim.

<u>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</u>, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession *of the invention*. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, *whatever is now claimed*." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See <u>Vas-Cath</u> at page 1116.)

With the exception of SEQ ID NO.1 and 2, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed polynucleotides that convey the species specificity required by the instant claims. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it. The nucleic acid itself is required. See Fiersv. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016. In Fiddes v. Baird, 30 USPQ2d 1481, 1483, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found unpatentable due to lack of written description for the broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Finally, University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co., 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1404. 1405 held that:

Art Unit: 1645

...To fulfill the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe an invention and does so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the inventor invented the claimed invention." *Lockwood v. American Airlines Inc.*, 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (1997); *In re Gosteli*, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012, 10 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1989) ("[T]he description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [the inventor] invented what is claimed."). Thus, an applicant complies with the written description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." *Lockwood*, 107 F.3d at 1572, 41 USPQ2datl966.

An adequate written description of a DNA, such as the cDNA of the recombinant plasmids and microorganisms of the '525 patent, "requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties," not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention. *Fiers v. Revel*, 984 F.2d 1164, 1171, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, "an adequate written description of a DNA requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it; what is required is a description of the DNA itself." Id. at 1170, 25 USPQ2d at 1606.

The name cDNA is not itself a written description of that DNA; it conveys no distinguishing information concerning its identity. While the example provides a process for obtaining human insulinenceding cDNA, there is no further information in the patent pertaining to that cDNA's relevant structural or physical characteristics; in other words, it thus does not describe human insulin cDNA. Describing a method of preparing a cDNA or even describing the protein that the cDNA encodes, as the example does, does not necessarily describe the cDNA itself. No sequence information indicating which nucleotides constitute human cDNA appears in the patent, as appears for rat cDNA in Example 5 of the patent. Accordingly, the specification does not provide a written description of the invention of claim 5.

Therefore, only SEQ ID NO: 1 and 2, but not the full breadth of the claims meets the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. The species specifically disclosed are not representative of the genus because the genus is highly variant. Applicant is reminded that <u>Vas-Cath</u> makes clear that the written description provision of 35 USC 112 is severable from its enablement provision. (See page 1115.)

Claims 24-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for methods of determining whether an individual is actively infected with *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* utilizing the PCR primers consisting of the sequences of SEQ ID NO: 1 and 2, does not reasonably provide enablement for methods of determining whether an individual

has previously been infected with *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification discloses methods of utilizing PCR primers (SEQ ID NO:1 and 2) to amplify/detect the nucleic acids encoding the porA protein of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. The specification is silent how said methodologies would be used to determine whether an individual was previously infected with *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. Given that the porA protein gene would not be present except during an active infection, the skilled artisan would not be able to use the claimed methodologies to the full breadth of the claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 30, 32-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 30 is rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the phrase "having a nucleotide sequence...". It is unclear whether this is meant to convey open or closed claim language. It is suggested that either "comprising" or "consisting of" be used.

Claims 32-33 are rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the phrase "has a nucleotide sequence...". It is unclear whether this is meant to convey open or closed claim language. It is suggested that either "comprising" or "consisting of" be used.

Claim 35 is rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the phrase "nucleotide sequences according to SEQ ID NO...". It is unclear whether this is meant to convey open or closed claim language. It is suggested that either "comprising" or "consisting of" be used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 24-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whilley et al. (European Journal of Microbial Infectious Diseases, 2004, Vol. 23 pages 705-710 -- IDS filed on 10-5-2006).

Whilley et al. disclose real-time PCR assays targeting the porA gene of *Neisseria* gonorrhoeae utilizing PCR primers and probes (see abstract) Whilley et al. further disclose that said primers will bind to the porA gene of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* but no other Neisserial species (see pages 707-710). Finally, Whilley et al. disclose primers and sequences with the same sequences as those recited in the instant claims (see Table 1).

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,699 Page 8

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT A. ZEMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-0866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Mondesi can be reached on (571) 272-0956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert A. Zeman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645 July 15, 2010